

Practitioner's Docket No. 65485-0037

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: John Burke

Group No.: 1734

Application No.: 09/723,104

Examiner: George R. Koch

Filed: November 27, 2000

For: ANISOTROPIC BONDING SYSTEM AND METHOD USING DYNAMIC FEEDBACK

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is
☐ a small entity. A statement:
☐ is attached.
☐ was already filed.
☒ other than a small entity.

RECEIVED

SEP 18 2002

TC 1700

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

xx deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

FACSIMILE

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Diane R. Lytle
Signature

Date: September 6, 2002

Diane R. Lytle
(type or print name of person certifying)

09/17/2002 16:00:00 00000029 1800

01 FD:115

110.00 CH

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

(Amendment Transmittal—page 1 of Error! Bookmark not defined.)

Practitioner's Docket N . 65485-0037

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

- (a) ☒ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136
(fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

	Extension (months)	Fee for other than <u>small entity</u>	Fee for <u>small entity</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	four months	\$ 1,440.00	\$ 720.00

Fee: \$ 110.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ 110.00

OR

- (b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

Practitioner's Docket No. 65485-0038
Serial No. 09/705,033

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col.1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3) SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total	Minus		=	x \$9 =	\$		x \$18 =	\$
Indep.	Minus		= 1	x \$42 =	\$		x \$84 =	\$
[] First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim				+ \$140 =	\$		+ \$280 =	\$
					Total Addit. Fee	OR	Total Addit. Fee	\$ 0

- * If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "O" in Col. 3,
** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".
*** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".
The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

- (c) [X] No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

- (d) [] Total additional fee for claims required \$_____.

FEE PAYMENT

5. [] Attached is a check in the sum of \$_____.
[X] Charge Account No. 18-0013 the sum of \$ 110.00.
A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

Practitioner's Docket No. 65485-0037

FEE DEFICIENCY


NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).


6. [x] If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 18-0013

AND/OR

- [x] If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 18-0013

Tel. No. 248 593 3310
CUSTOMER NO.: 010291


SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Glenn E. Forbis, Reg. No. 40,610
John P. Guenther, Reg. No. 39,698
Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC
39533 Woodward Ave., Suite 140
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304



Attorney Docket No. 65485-0037 (Saturn No. 99-015)

Serial No. 09/723,104

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: John Burke)

Group Art Unit: 1734

Appln. No.: 09/723,104)

Examiner: George R. Koch

Filed: November 27, 2000)

Paper No. 5)

6/25/02
9/25/02

For: ANISOTROPIC BONDING SYSTEM AND METHOD USING
DYNAMIC FEEDBACK

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Sir:

Responsive to the non-final Office Action dated May 6, 2002 (Paper No. 4),
please amend the above-referenced application as follows:

AMENDMENTS

In the claims:

Please ☒ rewrite the indicated claims as set forth below in clean form. Additionally,
in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.121(b)(2)(iii), the identified claims are set forth in
marked-up version in the pages attached to the amendment.

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

RECEIVED
SEP 18 2002
TC 1700